Table I

| entry | RX | product ( $\mathrm{R}=$ ) | yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | Mel | Me 2a | $74,^{\text {a }} 79^{\text {b }}$ |
| b | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathbf{2 b}$ | $87,{ }^{a} 93^{\text {b }}$ |
| c | $\mathrm{Me}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{I}$ | $\mathrm{Me}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} 2 \mathrm{c}$ | $78^{a}$ |
| d | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CHBr}$ | Me ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | $73^{a}$ |
| e | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} 2 \mathrm{e}$ | $61^{\circ}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Yields are based on the starting $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{I}, 1$, generated in situ as sodium salt. ${ }^{b}$ Yields based on reaction with pure isolated $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}{ }^{-} \mathrm{PPN}^{+} .{ }^{c}\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ is also obtained as reported previously. ${ }^{\text {. }}$
acterized ${ }^{10}$ at low temperature $\left(-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ by reaction of 1 with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}$ and $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ in the absence of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. Treatment of $\mathbf{3 a , b}$ with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ results in immediate formation of acyl complexes $4 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\left(t_{1 / 2}<10 \mathrm{~min},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ which were characterized by ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{11}$ In a first-order process, complexes $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{b}$ convert to $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{b}$ via an acyl migration reaction. Rates measured by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR were $k_{4 \mathrm{a} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{a}}=5.1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \Delta G^{*}=$ $18.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ migration and $k_{4 b-2 \mathrm{~b}}=6.1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \Delta G^{*}=18.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ migration. No intermediates (i.e., neither 5 nor $\pi$-allylhydride species) were detected by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in the conversion of $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{b}$ to $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{b}$.

Free $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones may be readily obtained from 2a-e. Refluxing enone complexes 2b,c in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(2-6 \mathrm{~h})$ gives metal-free enone compounds 6b,c in good yields ( $70-77 \%$ ). Alternatively irradiation (GE sunlamp) of enone complexes in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ under mild conditions ( $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2-6 \mathrm{~h}$ ) leads to $\mathbf{6 b}, \mathbf{c}$ in somewhat better yields ( $80-85 \%$ ).



6b.
On the basis of the mechanism in Scheme I, if the 16 -electron olefin complexes, $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}$, could be intercepted and displaced prior to its isomerization to $\mathbf{2 a - e}$, then a reaction sequence would be available to prepare not only $\alpha, \beta$-enones but also the less readily available unconjugated $\beta, \gamma$-isomers. This proved possible using the following procedure: After alkylation of anion $1\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right.$, $-\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ), the reaction mixture was quenched with excess $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. Irradiation of this mixture at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2-6 \mathrm{~h}$ gave the $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ketones in good yields ( $75-80 \%$ ). The products were contaminated by small amounts of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone ( $<10 \%$ ).

Preliminary results using a substituted allyl system indicate that substantial regioselectivity in the migration reaction is observed. For example, in situ generation of $\eta^{3}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{-}-\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Fe}-$ (CO) ${ }_{3}{ }^{-} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$from the ( $\eta^{3}$-methallyl)iron tricarbonyl iodide followed by treatment with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}$ or $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ and then with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ yields complexes 8 and 9 in an ca. 2:1 ratio ( $75-81 \%$ yield). ${ }^{12}$ Isolation of complexes 8 and 9 indicates that acyl migration occurs

[^0]Scheme I

regioselectively to the more hindered methyl-substituted carbon of the $\pi$-allyl moiety.
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Supplementary Material Available: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR, and microanalytical data for 2c, 2d, 2e, 6b, 8a, 9a, 8b, 9b, $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{4}$ $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right] \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \quad \eta^{4}-\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}\right.$. $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right] \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCOC}$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, NMR, and high resolution mass spectroscopic data for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=$ $\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ (4 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

## Metallabenzene: Synthesis, Structure, and Spectroscopy of a 1-Irida-3,5-dimethylbenzene Complex

John R. Bleeke,* Yun-Feng Xie, Wei-Jun Peng, and Michael Chiang

Department of Chemistry, Washington University<br>St. Louis, Missouri 63130<br>Received February 2, 1989

Replacement of a methine group in benzene with a nitrogen, phosphorus, ${ }^{1}$ or arsenic ${ }^{1}$ atom leads to stable heterocyclic compounds in which aromaticity is retained. However, little is known about analogous replacements involving transition metals and their associated ligands. Particularly intriguing is the question of whether such "metallabenzenes" would exhibit aromatic properties. ${ }^{2}$
To date, only one family of stable metallabenzenes has been reported. ${ }^{3,4}$ These species, obtained via a cyclization reaction involving acetylene and an osmium-thiocarbonyl complex, were reported by Roper in $1982 .{ }^{3}$ The X-ray crystal structure of Roper's parent compound, [ $\overparen{\mathrm{Os}\lrcorner \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{S}) \Perp \mathrm{CH}\lrcorner \mathrm{CH}\lrcorner \mathrm{CH}\lrcorner \mathrm{CH}]-. ~}$

[^1]

Figure 1, ORTEP drawing of $\left[\begin{array}{l}\left.\mathrm{Ir}\lrcorner \mathrm{CH}\lrcorner \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me})\llcorner\mathrm{CH}\lrcorner \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me})\llcorner\mathrm{CH}]\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)\end{array}\right.$ (1) with $30 \%$ thermal ellipsoids.

## Scheme I


$(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$, indicated a planar six-membered ring with delocalized bonding around the ring. However, no NMR spectra were reported for Roper's compounds, and the question of whether they exhibit ring currents was not addressed. Furthermore, the asymmetry of these molecules made it difficult to compare directly the structural and spectroscopic features of the two sides of the ring.

We now report the synthesis, solid-state structure, and full spectral characterization of a new, highly symmetrical metallabenzene complex, $\left[\mathrm{Ir} \_\mathrm{CH} \ldots \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me}) \ldots \mathrm{CH} \ldots \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me}) \ldots \mathrm{CH}\right]\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{3}$ (1). Compound $\mathbf{1}$ is obtained via the three-step sequence shown in Scheme I. The first two steps were described in an earlier communication. ${ }^{5}$ The final step, abstraction of a proton from B with lithium diisopropylamide, proceeds in high yield to produce red $1 .{ }^{6}$

The solid-state structure of $\mathbf{1}$, derived from a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study is shown in Figure 1. ${ }^{7}$ Overall, the

[^2]
## Scheme II


compound exhibits square-pyramidal coordination geometry with $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 5, \mathrm{P} 1$, and P 2 occupying the four basal sites and P 3 residing in the axial site. The six-membered ring is nearly planar, with no atom deviating by more than $0.08 \AA$ from the best mean plane. Furthermore, there is delocalization of bonding within the ring $(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2=1.37$ (1) $\AA, \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3=1.40$ (1) $\AA, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4=1.37$ (1) $\AA, \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5=1.39(1) \AA, \mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{Cl}=2.024(8) \AA, \mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{C} 5=1.985$ (8) $\AA$ ). The bond angles within the carbon portion of the ring are 122.6 (7) ${ }^{\circ}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3), 125.3$ (7) ${ }^{\circ}(\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4)$, and 121.8 (7) ${ }^{\circ}(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5)$, while the $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ and $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{Ir}$ angles are $131.2(6)^{\circ}$ and $132.9(6)^{\circ}$, respectively, and the Cl-Ir-C5 angle is $84.7(3)^{\circ}$.
The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ${ }^{8}$ of 1 clearly shows the presence of a ring current. Protons H 1 and H 5 (which are equivalent) resonate at $\delta 10.91$, while H 3 resonates at $\delta 7.18$. Interestingly, the $\mathrm{H} 1 / \mathrm{H} 5$ signal is a quartet ( $J=7.30 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) due to coupling to three equivalent phosphorus nuclei (vide infra). The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR signals ${ }^{8}$ of the ring carbon atoms also appear quite far downfield. Equivalent carbon atoms C 1 and C 5 resonate at $\delta 167.3$, while C 2 and C 4 resonate at $\delta 132.0$, and C 3 resonates at $\delta 129.8$. The $\mathrm{C} 1 / \mathrm{C} 5$ signal is a quartet $(J=30.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ due to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}$ coupling.
The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR signal ${ }^{8}$ is a sharp singlet, which shows no broadening even upon cooling the sample to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This indicates that $\mathbf{1}$ is stereochemically nonrigid and that the axial and basal phosphines are exchanging rapidly in solution. The most likely mechanism for this exchange is a Berry-type process, as shown in Scheme II. ${ }^{9}$ The square-pyramidal complex A isomerizes to a trigonal-bipyramidal intermediate B in which one ring carbon atom and one basal phosphine ligand ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) assume trans-axial positions. This intermediate then reisomerizes back to squarepyramidal complex $C$, causing $P_{A} / P_{B}$ exchange.

Metallabenzenes have been implicated as intermediates in the formation of a variety of cyclopentadienyl-metal complexes. ${ }^{10,5}$ In fact, it has been suggested that metallabenzenes (or metallacyclohexadienes) may in general be unstable with respect to cy-clopentadienyl-metal formation. ${ }^{10 \mathrm{a}}$ However, compound $\mathbf{1}$ is quite robust. In the solid state or in solution at room temperature (under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere), it is stable indefinitely. Furthermore, heating 1 in refluxing benzene for short periods causes little or no decomposition.

The $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ unit in compound $\mathbf{1}$ can perhaps best be viewed as a monoanionic ligand. As such, it possesses eight valence electrons, in addition to skeletal electrons which form its $\sigma$ framework. Four of these valence electrons are used to form $\sigma$ bonds to iridium, while the remaining four are $\pi$-electrons and occupy the ligand's $1 \pi_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $1 \pi_{\mathrm{a}}$ orbitals. ${ }^{11}$ The $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ iridium center contributes two electrons to the $\pi$-system via overlap of a filled

[^3]d orbital $\left(\mathrm{a}_{x z} / \mathrm{d}_{y z}\right.$ combination orbital) ${ }^{12}$ with the $2 \pi_{\mathrm{s}}$ orbital of the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ unit. This brings the total $\pi$-electron count to six and explains, in a qualitative fashion, the observed aromatic character of 1. A more detailed molecular orbital treatment of 1 will be forthcoming.

In summary, we conclude that iridacycle $\mathbf{1}$ is, in fact, a metallabenzene with all of the usual aromatic properties. In particular, (a) the six-membered ring is planar and the bonding is delocalized, (b) a ring current is present, causing the ring protons to be shifted significantly downfield in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, and (c) the compound is very robust, surviving in refluxing benzene with no apparent decomposition.

We have begun to explore the chemical reactivity of 1 and will report the results of this study in a future communication.

Acknowledgment. Support from the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-8520680) and a loan of $\mathrm{IrCl}_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ from Johnson Matthey, Inc. are gratefully acknowledged. Washington University's X-ray Crystallography Facility was funded by the National Science Foundation's Chemical Instrumentation Program (Grant CHE-8811456).

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of final atomic coordinates, thermal parameters, bond lengths, and bond angles (4 pages); listings of observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes ( 19 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.
(12) We define the following coordinate axis system: the axial ligand lies along the $z$ axis, while the four basal ligands, when projected onto the $x y$ plane, lie along the $x,-x, y$, and $-y$ axes.

## The 10-Membered Ring Analogues of Neocarzinostatin Chromophore: Design, Synthesis, and Mode of Decomposition ${ }^{\dagger}$

Masahiro Hirama, ${ }^{\text {, }}{ }^{\ddagger}$ Kenshu Fujiwara, ${ }^{\ddagger}$ Kuniyoshi Shigematu, ${ }^{\ddagger}$ and Yoshimasa Fukazawa ${ }^{\S}$
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The labile nonprotein chromophore ${ }^{1,2}$ of the antitumor antibiotic neocarzinostatin (NCS), isolated from the culture filtrate of Streptomyces carzinostaticus by Ishida and co-workers in 1965, ${ }^{3}$ is essentially responsible for the biological activity of NCS. ${ }^{2,4}$ Goldberg et al. proposed that the thiol activation of the chromophore produces a free-radical intermediate which cleaves DNA via abstraction of $5^{\prime}$-hydrogen from DNA on aerobic incubation. ${ }^{5}$ However, extreme instability and structural ambiguity of the chromophore hampered the establishment of precise mechanisms for its radical generation and the DNA cleavage at the molecular level. The breakthrough came in 1985: Edo and his collaborators assigned the planar structure of the NCS chromophore as an unprecedented epoxybicyclo[7.3.0]dodecadienediyne nucleus 1, ${ }^{6}$

[^4]Scheme I. Myers' Proposed Mechanism for the Formation of 4


Table I. Calculated Strain Energies and Distances ab of Model Compounds 5, 8, 7a, and 9
Entry Compound
${ }^{a}$ Calculation parameters for cumulene are not available.
which was recently supported by the elegant synthesis of the parent carbocyclic core by Wender and co-workers. ${ }^{7}$ Furthermore, Myers proposed both the structure of the chromophore-methyl thioglycolate adduct 4 and the mechanism of its formation (Scheme I)..$^{8,9}$ As a part of our studies on the mechanism of chemical and biological action of the NCS complex, we designed and synthesized the NCS chromophore analogues 6 and 7 b to answer the questions: (i) whether the ring strain inherent in the unsaturated nine-membered ring system is essential to such novel molecular transformation generating free radical and (ii) whether the epoxide functionality is also essential to it. ${ }^{10}$

Molecular mechanics calculations [MM2(85)] indicated that the strain energy of the 10 -membered ring analogue 7 a was much less than that of the parent hydrocarbon 5 (entries 3 and 1, Table I). ${ }^{11}$ On the other hand, the increase of heat of formation from diyne 7a to enynecumulene 9 , a 10 -membered ring analogue of Myers' intermediate $2,{ }^{8}$ calculated by MNDO (entries 3 and 4)

[^5]
[^0]:    (9) Nesmeyanov, A. N.; Kristskaya, I. I.; Ustynyuk, Y. A.; Fedin, E. I. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 1968, 176, 341.
    (10) $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3 \mathrm{a}$ : Obtained as a mixture of two isomers ( $15: 1$ at $-58{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); IR $\nu_{\text {max }}$ (THF) 2050, $1985 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3},-58$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right)$ major isomer $3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3 \cdot \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 2.18$ (d, $J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}$ ), $-0.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;$ minor isomer, $4.20(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{I}$ $\mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H})$, $-0.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3 \mathrm{~b}$ : obtained as a mixture of two isomers ( $5: 1$ at $-13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); IR $\nu_{\text {max }}$ (THF) $2057,1994,1711 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right)$ major isomer $7.18-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 3.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H})$, $1.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$; minor isomer, $7.18-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $3-\mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 1.80$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ )
    (11) $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$, 4a: IR $\nu_{\max }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ 1991, 1932, 1639 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right) 7.56-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{Ph}), 4.20(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{l}$ $\mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 2.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 2.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 1.92$ (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}\right) ; \eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4 \mathrm{~b}$ : IR $\nu_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) 1990,1930,1630 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta\right)$ $7.80-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{Ph}), 4.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.38-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H})$, $2.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 2.04\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, 2-H).
    (12) A minor product is $\eta^{4}-\left[\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{COR}\right] \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$.

[^1]:    (1) Ashe, III, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 3293.
    (2) For a theoretical discussion of delocalization in metallacycles, see: Thorn, D. L.; Hoffmann, R. Nouv. J. Chem. 1979, 3, 39.
    (3) Elliot, G. P.; Roper, W. R.; Waters, J. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 811.

[^2]:    (4) In addition, there is a recent report of a metallabenzene coordinated to a second metal center. The metallabenzene moiety in this species is obtained via an ill-defined "dehydrogenation" of a 2,4-dimethylpentadienyl ligand. See: Kralik, M. S.; Rheingold, A. L.; Ernst, R. D. Organometallics 1987, 6, 2612.
    (5) Bleeke, J. R.; Peng, W.-J. Organometalics 1987, 6, 1576.
    (6) In an inert atmosphere glovebox, $\{[\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me})-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}$ -$\left.\left.\overrightarrow{(\mathrm{Me})-\mathrm{CH}_{2}}\right]\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{3}\right\}^{+} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}-\left(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 6.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ was mixed with lithium diisopropylamide ( $0.068 \mathrm{~g}, 6.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and dissolved in 10 mL of cold $\left(-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ acetone. The resulting solution was shaken by hand for a minute and then stored in a $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ freezer for 1 h . After removal of the solvent under vacuum, the red residue was redissolved in a minimal quantity of acetone and cooled to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Red crystals of $1(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ yield) were obtained overnight. Anal. ( $\mathrm{IrP}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{54}$ ) C, H .
    (7) Crystal data for 1: monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / n, a=11.738$ (4) $\AA$, $b=15.643$ (3) $\AA, c=16.329$ (3) $\AA, \beta=95.81$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}, V=2983$ (1) $\AA^{3}, Z=$ 4, $d_{\text {caled }}=1.42 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}, \mu=46.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Nicolet P3 diffractometer, Mo K $\alpha$ radiation, $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 5284$ unique reflections with $3^{\circ}<2 \theta<50^{\circ}$ collected, 3678 reflections with $I>3 \sigma(I)$ used in refinement; empirical absorption correction; $R=0.035, R_{w}=0.038, \mathrm{GOF}=1.33$.

[^3]:    (8) Spectroscopic data for 1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $\left.d_{6}, 17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 10.91 (quartet, $\left.J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=7.30 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2, \mathrm{HI} / \mathrm{H} 5\right), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{H} 3), 2.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ 's of ring), 1.85-1.74 (m, 18, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ 's of $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ 's), 0.94-0.81 (m, 27, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's of $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ ) $;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{\{ } \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6}, 17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 167.3$ (quartet, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}$ $=30.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{C} 5), 132.0(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{C} 4), 129.8(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C} 3), 28.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ 's of ring), $22.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ 's of $\left.\mathrm{PEt}_{3}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right), 9.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ 's of $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ 's ) ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{\{ } \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6}, 17{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 202 \mathrm{MHz}$, referenced to $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ) $\delta-4.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right.$ 's).
    (9) Jesson, J. P.; Muetterties, E. L. In Dynamic Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy; Jackman, L. M., Cotton, F. A., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1975; pp 253-316.
    (10) (a) Ferede, R.; Allison, N. T. Organometallics 1983, 2, 463. (b) Ferede, R.; Hinton, J. F.; Korfmacher, W. A.; Freeman, J. P.; Allison, N. T. Ibid. 1985, 4, 614. (c) Egan, J. W., Jr.; Hughes, R. P.; Rheingold, A. L. Ibid. 1987, 6, 1578.
    (11) For drawings of these orbitals, see: Albright, T. A.; Hoffmann, R.; Tse, Y.-C.; D'Ottavio, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 10l, 3812.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ Dedicated to Professor Nakao Ishida.
    T Tohoku University.
    ${ }^{8}$ Hiroshima University.
    (1) Napier, M. A.; Holmquist, B.; Strydom, D. J.; Goldberg, I. H. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1979, 89, 635.
    (2) Koide, Y.; Ishii, F.; Hasuda, K.; Koyama, Y.; Edo, K.; Katamine, S.; Kitame, F.; Ishida, N. J. Antibiot. 1980, 33, 342.
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